

Reprint

ISSN 0973-9424

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
AND ENGINEERING
APPLICATIONS**

(IJMSEA)



www.ascent-journals.com

THEOREMS ON INERT SUBGROUPS AND STABLE IMAGES OF FREE GROUPS

MANISH KUMAR JHA

Research Scholar,
Dept Of Mathematics, T. M. B. U. Bhagalpur, India

Abstract

Theory of fixed subgroups of free groups created the vast literature and a major line of research in past. In this paper we are going to see some interesting results on fixed subgroups, inert subgroups and the stable images of free groups.

1. Introduction

Free groups, its fixed point subgroups and inert subgroups are interesting domain of research since previous century. A wide body of results on fixed subgroups of free groups by their automorphisms as well as endomorphisms and inert subgroups of free groups have been studied earlier.

Let $F(A)$ and $F(B)$ are free groups generated by the sets A and B respectively. Then $End(F)$ and $Aut(F)$ represent the set of endomorphisms and the set of automorphisms on F respectively. Fixed subgroup $Fix \phi = \{u \in F | \phi(u) = u\}$, where $\phi \in End(F)$. The equaliser of two free group homomorphisms $g, h : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ is the set of points

Key Words : *Free group, Fixed subgroups, Endomorphism, Inert subgroup, Stable image, Generalized stable image.*

where they have same images, so $Eq(g, h) = \{x \in F(A) | g(x) = h(x)\}$. If one of the homomorphism g or h is injective then $Eq(g, h)$ has finite rank, $rk(Eq(g, h)) < \infty$, it was proved by Goldstein and Turner in [11]. Fixed subgroups of free groups have generated a lot of literature from the 1970s onwards by Dyer and Scott in [6], Jaco and Shalen in [14], Gersten in [8], Ventura in [17], Bogopolski and Maslakova in [3], Feighn and Handel in [7]. Bestvina and Handel in [2] used Thurston's train-track maps to prove that $rk(Fix(\varphi)) \leq |A|$ for $\varphi \in Aut(F(A))$ and Imrich and Turner extended this bound to all endomorphisms in [12]. Bergman further extended this bound to all sets of endomorphisms in [1]. Similarly equalisers have been studied other than fixed subgroups in the papers of Goldstein and Turner in [9], [10] and [11], Ciobanu, Martino, Ventura in [4] and Myasnikov, Nikolaev, and Ushakov in [15].

2. Inert Subgroups

A subgroup H of a free group $F(A)$ is inert if for all $K \leq F(A)$ we have $rk(H \cap K) \leq rk(K)$. Examples of inert subgroups include free factors, and more generally fixed subgroups of sets of monomorphisms are discussed by Dicks and Ventura in [5], and there are inert subgroups which are not fixed subgroups have been studied by Rosenmann in [16]. Recent development of the work on inert subgroups has been focused on trying to determine inertness(or sometimes inertia) algorithmically by quantifying it by Ivanov in [13].

3. Equalisers as Fixed Subgroups

In this section we view equalisers as fixed subgroups, as explained below. We begin with a theorem which, under very specific conditions, allows us to view equalisers as fixed subgroups.

If $g, h : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ are homomorphisms with h injective and $im(g) \leq im(h)$ then we may define: $\psi(g, h) : h^{-1}(im(g)) \rightarrow h^{-1}(im(g))$

$$x \rightarrow h^{-1}(g(x)).$$

Here we can apply h^{-1} to $g(x)$ as $im(g) \leq im(h)$ and the map $\psi(g, h)$ is a function as h is injective.

Theorem 3.1 : Let $g, h : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ be homomorphisms with h injective and

$im(g) \leq im(h)$. Then $Eq(g, h) = Fix(\psi(g, h))$.

Proof : Clearly $Fix(\psi(g, h)) \leq Eq(g, h)$, while $Eq(g, h) \leq Fix(\psi(g, h))$ since if $g(x) = h(x)$ then $h^{-1}(g(x)) = x$, as h is injective, and clearly $x \in h^{-1}(im(g))$.

4. Generalized Stable Image

For endomorphisms $\varphi : F \rightarrow F$ the stable image of φ is $\varphi^\infty(F) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i(F)$. Imrich and Turner used this tool to prove that $rk(Fix(\varphi)) \leq rk(F)$ in [16]. We now generalize this construction to equalisers. Let $g, h : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ be homomorphisms. Then we define $H_0 = F(A)$ and by mathematical induction define $H_{i+1} = h^{-1}(g(H_i) \cap (H_i))$. Then finally define $GSI(g, h) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$.

We call $GSI(g, h)$ the generalized stable image of g with h . The name generalized stable image is because we can use the restrictions $g|_{GSI(g, h)}$ and $h|_{GSI(g, h)}$ to understand $Eq(g, h)$ (in theorem 6.1). By taking $A = B$ and g to be the identity map, we see that the stable image is a special case of the generalized stable image.

Theorem 4.1 : Let h be injective. Then $GSI(g, h)$ is the maximal subgroup K of $F(A)$ such that $g(K) \leq h(K)$.

Proof : First of all we prove that $g(GSI(g, h)) \leq h(GSI(g, h))$. For this let $x \in GSI(g, h)$. Then $x \in H_i$ for all $i \geq 0$. Hence, for all $j \geq 1$ there exists some $y_j \in g(H_j) \cap h(H_j)$ such that $x \in g^{-1}(y_j)$. Then $g(x) = y_j \in h(H_j)$ and so $g(x) \in h(H_j)$ for all $j \geq 0$. Hence, $g(x) \in \cap h(H_j)$.

By injectivity of h , we have $\cap h(H_j) = h(\cap H_j) = h(GSI(g, h))$ and so $g(x) \in h(GSI(g, h))$ as required. For maximality, suppose $K \leq F(A)$ is such that $g(K) \leq h(K)$. Clearly $K \leq H_0 = F(A)$. If $K \leq H_i$ then $g(K) \leq g(H_i) \cap h(H_i)$ and so $K \leq g^{-1}(g(H_i) \cap h(H_i)) = H_{i+1}$.

Hence, by induction $K \leq H_i$ for all $i \geq 0$ and so $K \leq \cap H_i = GSI(g, h)$ as required.

5. Examples Of Generalized Stable Image

We now give two examples of generalized stable image. Our first example shows that $GSI(g, h) \neq GSI(h, g)$ in general, even if both maps are injective.

Example 5.1 : Define $g : F(x, y) \rightarrow F(a, b)$ by $g : x \rightarrow a^2, y \rightarrow b$ and $h : x \rightarrow a, y \rightarrow b^2$. As $g(\langle x \rangle) \leq h(\langle x \rangle)$, we have that $x \in GSI(g, h)$ by Theorem 4.1, and similarly $y \notin GSI(h, g)$. On the other hand, $y \notin GSI(g, h)$ and $x \notin GSI(h, g)$, as $im(g) \cap im(h)$ is

a proper subgroup of both $im(g)$ and $im(h)$ and so neither generalized stable image is the whole of $F(x, y)$. Hence $GSI(g, h) \neq GSI(h, g)$.

Our next example shows that generalized stable images are not necessarily finitely generated.

Example 5.2 : Define $g : x \rightarrow ab, y \rightarrow 1$ and $h : x \rightarrow a^2, y \rightarrow b^2$. Then $im(g) \cap im(h)$ is trivial, and so the subgroup H_1 in the definition of the generalized stable image is $ker(g)$. We then see inductively that $H_i = ker(g)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and so $GSI(g, h) = ker(g)$. As $ker(g)$ is a normal subgroup of infinite index in $F(A)$, we have that $GSI(g, h) = ker(g)$ is not finitely generated.

6. More On Generalized Stable Image

Theorem 6.1 : $Eq(g, h) = Eq(g|GSI(g, h), h|GSI(g, h))$.

Proof : Clearly $Eq(g|GSI(g, h), h|GSI(g, h)) \leq Eq(g, h)$. For the other direction we prove that $Eq(g, h) \leq GSI(g, h)$, which is sufficient. So, let $x \in Eq(g, h)$. Then $x \in H_0$, while if $x \in H_i$ then $g(x) = h(x) \in g(H_i) \cap h(H_i)$ and so $x \in g^{-1}(g(H_i) \cap h(H_i)) = H_{i+1}$. Therefore, by induction we have that $x \in H_i$ for all $i \geq 0$ and so $x \in \bigcap H_i = GSI(g, h)$ as required.

If h is injective then we can define $\psi(g|GSI(g, h), h|GSI(g, h)) \in End(GSI(g, h))$ as in Theorem 3.1. Crucially, $Eq(g, h)$ is the set of fixed points of this map.

Theorem 6.2 : Let $g, h : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ be homomorphisms with h injective. Then the endomorphism $\varphi(g, h) = \psi(g|GSI(g, h), h|GSI(g, h)) \in End(GSI(g, h))$ satisfies $Eq(g, h) = Fix(\varphi(g, h))$.

Proof : By Theorem 4.1, the maps $g|GSI(g, h)$ and $h|GSI(g, h)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1, and so $Eq(g|GSI(g, h), h|GSI(g, h)) = Fix((g, h))$. The result then follows using Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 6.3 : Let $g, h : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ be homomorphisms.

- (a) If h is injective then $rk(Eq(g, h)) \leq rk(GSI(g, h))$.
- (b) If both g and h are injective then $Eq(g, h)$ is inert in $GSI(g, h)$.

Proof : Suppose h is injective. Consider the map $\varphi(g, h) \in End(GSI(g, h))$ from Theorem 6.2, with $Fix(\varphi(g, h)) = Eq(g, h)$. Then $rk(Eq(g, h)) = rk(Fix(\varphi(g, h))) \leq rk(g(GSI(g, h)))$ by [16], as required.

Suppose both g and h are injective. Recalling that $\varphi(g, h) \in \text{End}(GSI(g, h))$ is defined by $x \rightarrow h^{-1}(g(x))$, as g is injective the map $\varphi(g, h)$ is also injective. Hence, $\text{Fix}(\varphi(g, h))$ is inert in $g(GSI(g, h))$ by [6]. Finally the result follows as $\text{Eq}(g, h) = \text{Fix}(\varphi)$, by Theorem 6.2.

References

- [1] George M. Bergman, Supports of derivations, free factorizations, and ranks of fixed subgroups in free groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(4) (1999), 1531-1550. MR 1458296.
- [2] Mladen Bestvina and Michael Handel, Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups, *Ann. of Math.* 135(2) (1992), no. 1, 1-51. MR 1147956.
- [3] Oleg Bogopolski and Olga Maslakova, An algorithm for finding a basis of the fixed point subgroup of an automorphism of a free group, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 26(1) (2016), 29-67. MR 3463201.
- [4] Laura Ciobanu, Armando Martino, and Enric Ventura, The generic Hanna Neumann Conjecture and Post Correspondence Problem, (2008), <http://www-eupm.upc.es/ventura/ventura/files/31t.pdf>.
- [5] Warren Dicks and Enric Ventura, The group fixed by a family of injective endomorphisms of a free group, *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 195, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR 1385923.
- [6] Dyer Joan L. and Peter Scott G., Periodic automorphisms of free groups, *Comm. Algebra* 3 (1975), 195-201. MR 369529.
- [7] Mark Feighn and Michael Handel, Algorithmic constructions of relative train track maps and CTs, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 12(3) (2018), 1159.1238. MR 3845002.
- [8] Gersten S. M., Fixed points of automorphisms of free groups, *Adv. in Math.* 64(1) (1987), 51-85. MR 879856.
- [9] Goldstein Richard Z. and Turner Edward C., Automorphisms of free groups and their fixed points, *Invent. Math.* 78(1) (1984), 1-12. MR 762352.
- [10] Goldstein Richard Z. and Turner Edward C., Monomorphisms of finitely generated free groups have finitely generated equalizers, *Invent. Math.* 82(2) (1985), 283-289. MR 809716.
- [11] Goldstein Richard Z. and Turner Edward C., Fixed subgroups of homomorphisms of free groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 18(5) (1986), 468-470. MR 847985.
- [12] Imrich W. and Turner E. C., Endomorphisms of free groups and their fixed points, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 105(3) (1989), 421-422. MR 985677.
- [13] Ivanov S. V., The intersection of subgroups in free groups and linear programming, *Math. Ann.* 370(3-4) (2018), 1909-1940. MR 3770185.

- [14] William Jaco and Peter B. Shalen, Surface homeomorphisms and periodicity, *Topology* 16(4) (1977), 347-367. MR 464239.
- [15] Alexei Myasnikov, Andrey Nikolaev, and Alexander Ushakov, The Post correspondence problem in groups, *J. Group Theory* 17(6) (2014), 991-1008. MR 3276224.
- [16] Amnon Rosenmann, On the intersection of subgroups in free groups: echelon subgroups are inert, *Groups Complex. Cryptol.* 5(2) (2013), 211-221. MR 3245107.
- [17] Ventura E., Fixed subgroups in free groups: a survey, *Combinatorial and geometric group theory* (New York, 2000/Hoboken, NJ, 2001), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 296, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 231-255. MR 1922276.