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# JUMP OF DISTRIBUTION OF $D_{L^{2}}^{\prime}$ THROUGH THE ANALYTIC REPRESENTATION 
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#### Abstract

In this paper we give some results in the space $D_{L^{2}}^{\prime}$. We determine the jump of a regular distribution in the space $D_{L^{2}}^{\prime}$ in terms of the derivatives of the analytic and the harmonic representation.


## 1. Introduction

$D_{L^{p}}, 1 \leq p<\infty$ denotes the space of all infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi$ for which $\varphi^{(\beta)} \in L^{p}$ for each $n$-tuple $\beta$ of nonnegative integers.
$B=D_{L^{\infty}}$ is the space of all infinitely differentiable functions which are bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\dot{B}$ is the subspace of $B$ that consists of all functions $\varphi \in B$ which vanish at infinity together with each of their derivatives.
A sequence of functions $\left(\varphi_{\lambda}\right)$ of $D_{L^{P}}$ converges to a function $\varphi$ in the topology of $D_{L^{P}}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$ if each $\varphi_{\lambda} \in D_{L^{p}}, \varphi \in D_{L^{p}}$, and
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$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}}\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}-\varphi^{(\beta)}\right\|_{L^{p}}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(x)-\varphi^{(\beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}=0 \text { for every } \beta
$$

A sequence of functions $\left(\varphi_{\lambda}\right)$ converges to the function $\varphi$ in $\dot{B}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$ if each $\varphi_{\lambda} \in \dot{B}, \varphi \in \dot{B}$, and

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}}\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}-\varphi^{(\beta)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=0 .
$$

$D$ is dense in $D_{L^{p}}, 1 \leq p<\infty$ and in $\dot{B}$, but not in $B=D_{L^{\infty}}$. Also $D_{L^{p}}$ is dense in $L^{p}$. If $\varphi \in D_{L^{p}}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$ then $\varphi$ is bounded and converges to 0 at infinity with the same being true for all derivatives of $\varphi$.
We have $D \subset D_{L^{p}} \subset D_{L^{q}} \subset \dot{B}$ if $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$.
$D_{L^{p}}^{\prime}, 1 \leq p<\infty$ is the space of all continuous linear functionals on $D_{L^{q}}$ where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. $D_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is the space of all continuous linear functionals on $\dot{B}$.
The function $F(z)$ which is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$, where $K$ is the support of $f$, and such that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[F(x+i \epsilon)-F(x-i \epsilon)] \varphi(x) d x=\langle f, \varphi\rangle
$$

for all $\varphi \in D$, is called an analytic representation of $f$.
We say that $U(z)$, harmonic on $\operatorname{Imz}>0$ is a harmonic representation of $f \in D^{\prime}$ if

$$
U(x+i y) \text { conveges to } f(x) \text { in } D^{\prime} \text {, as } y \rightarrow 0^{+} .
$$

The following theorem is given in [7].
Theorem 1.1: If $f \in D_{L^{p}}^{\prime}$ then $f$ has Cauchy representation $\hat{f}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\langle f(t), \frac{1}{t-z}\right\rangle$, for $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$. A distribution $f \in D^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ is said to have a distributional jump behavior (or jump behavior) at $x=x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ i.e. $[f]_{x=x_{0}}$ if it satisfies the distributional asymptotic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x\right)=\gamma_{-} H(-x)+\gamma_{+} H(x)+o(1) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in $D^{\prime}$, where $\gamma_{ \pm}$are constants and $H$ is the Heaviside function and $\gamma_{+}-\gamma_{-}=$ $[f]_{x=x_{0}}$.
For the Fourier transform of $f$ we write $\Phi$ i.e. $\Phi(w)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i t w} d t$ and for the inverse Fourier transform $(\Phi)^{-1}$.
Let us define the subset of the upper half-plane, $\Delta_{\theta}^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$, to be the set of all $z$ such that $\theta \leq \arg \left(z-x_{0}\right) \leq \pi-\theta$, where $0<\theta \leq \pi / 2$. Similarly, we define the subset of the
lower half-plane, $\Delta_{\theta}^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1 : Let $T_{f} \in D_{L^{2}}^{\prime}$ be a distribution generated by $f \in L^{2}$ that have a jump behavior i.e.
$f\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x\right)=\gamma_{-} H(-x)+\gamma_{+} H(x)+o(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$at $x=x_{0}$. Suppose that $F$ is analytic representation of $f$ on $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$.
Then for any $0<\theta \leq \pi / 2$.

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow x_{0}, z \in \Delta \theta^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(z-x_{0}\right)^{k} F^{(k)}(z)=(-1)^{k} \frac{(k-1)!}{2 \pi i}[f]_{x=x_{0}} .
$$

Note : For the regular distributions $T_{f}$ generated by $f$ we will use the denotation $f$, i.e.

$$
\left\langle T_{f}, \varphi\right\rangle=\langle f, \varphi\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(t) \varphi(t) d t, \quad \varphi \in D_{L^{2}} .
$$

Proof: Since $\varphi \in D_{L_{2}}$ and $f \in L^{2}$, the distribution $T_{f}$ is well defined.
Using Theorem 8.6.1 in [1] we have that

$$
F(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle\Phi_{+}(t), e^{i z t}\right\rangle, & y>0 \\ -\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle\Phi_{-}(t), e^{i z t}\right\rangle, & y<0\end{cases}
$$

is an analytic representation of $f$.
Differentiating equations (1) we have that

$$
\epsilon f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x\right)=\gamma_{-} H^{\prime}(-x)(-1)+\gamma_{+} H^{\prime}(x)+o(1)
$$

i.e.

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon}[f]_{x=x_{0}} \delta(x)+o\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

since $H^{\prime}(x)=\delta(x)$ and $\gamma_{+}-\gamma_{-}=[f]_{x=x_{0}}$.
Differentiating (1) $k$-times, we have that

$$
f^{(k)}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{k}}[f]_{x=x_{0}} \delta^{(k-1)}(x)+o\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{k}}\right) .
$$

If we take Fourier transform in the last equation and using the properties

$$
\Phi(t-a)(w)=e^{-a w i} \Phi(w)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(a t)(w) & =\frac{1}{a} \Phi\left(\frac{w}{a}\right) \\
\Phi^{(n)}(t)(w) & =(i w)^{n} \Phi(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi^{(k)}\left(\epsilon x-\left(-x_{0}\right)\right)(\lambda t)=(i \lambda t)^{k} e^{i \lambda t x_{0}} \Phi(f)(\lambda t) \frac{1}{\epsilon^{k}} \\
(i \lambda t)^{k} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{k}} e^{i \lambda t x_{0}} \Phi(f)(\lambda t)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{k}}[f]_{x=x_{0}}(i \lambda t)^{(k-1)} \cdot 1+o\left(\lambda^{k-1}\right) \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $z$ belong to $\Delta_{\theta}^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then from equations (1) and (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{(k)}\left(x_{0}+\frac{1}{\lambda} z\right) & = \pm \frac{i^{k}}{2 \pi} \lambda^{k+1}\left\langle t^{K} e^{i \lambda x_{0} t} \Phi\left(f_{ \pm}\right)(\lambda t), e^{i z t}\right\rangle \\
& = \pm \frac{( \pm i)^{k}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{i} \lambda \lambda^{k-1}[f]_{x=x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{ \pm i z t} d t+o\left(\lambda^{k}\right) \\
& = \pm \frac{( \pm i)^{k-1}}{2 \pi} \lambda^{k}[f]_{x=x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{ \pm i z t} d t+o\left(\lambda^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last integral is of the form $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{k-1} e^{i z x}=(i z)^{-k} \Gamma(k)>0, \operatorname{Re}(k)>0, \operatorname{Im}(z)>0$, where $\Gamma(k)$ is the Gama function, $\Gamma(k)=(k-1)$ !
Now, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{(k)}\left(x_{0}+\frac{1}{\lambda} z\right)= & \pm \frac{( \pm i)^{k-1}}{2 \pi} \lambda^{k}[f]_{x=x_{0}} i z^{-k}(k-1)!+o\left(\lambda^{k}\right) \\
= & \pm \frac{( \pm i)^{k}}{2 \pi}[f]_{x=x_{0}}(k-1)!\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{k}+o\left(\lambda^{k}\right)= \\
& \frac{(-1)^{k}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i}[f]_{x=x_{0}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{z}\right)^{k} o\left(\lambda^{k}\right) \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $x_{0}+\frac{1}{\lambda} z=z$. When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ then $z \rightarrow x_{0}$, wo we have

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow x_{0}, z \in \Delta \theta^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(z-x_{0}\right)^{k} F^{(k)}(z)=(-1)^{k} \frac{(k-1)!}{2 \pi i}[f]_{x=x_{0}}
$$

Theorem 2.2 : Let $T_{f} \in D_{L^{2}}^{\prime}$ is distribution generated by the differentiable and integrable function $f \in L^{2}$ that have a jump behavior $f\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x\right)=\gamma_{-} H(-x)+\gamma_{+} H(-x)+$ $o(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$at $x=x_{0}$. Let $U$ be harmonic representation of $f, \operatorname{Imz}>0$ and $V$ is the harmonic conjugate of $U$. Then

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} U}{\partial x^{k}}(z)=\frac{(k-1)!}{(-1)^{k} \pi}[f]_{x=x_{0}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\left(z-x_{0}\right)^{k}}+o\left(\left|z-x_{0}\right|^{-k}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} V}{\partial x^{k}}(z)=\frac{(k-1)!}{(-1)^{k+1} \pi}[f]_{x=x_{0}} R e \frac{1}{\left(z-x_{0}\right)^{k}}+o\left(\left|z-x_{0}\right|^{-k}\right),
$$

as $z \rightarrow x_{0}$ on $\Delta_{\theta}^{+}\left(x_{0}\right), 0<\theta \leq \pi / 2$.
Proof: Harmonic conjugate differ from each other by constant. We may work with any harmonic representation $U$ of $f$. Indeed, if $U$ and $U_{1}$ are two analytic representations of $f$, then $U_{2}=U-U_{1}$ represents zero distribution. By the Reflection principle to the real and imaginary parts of $U$, we have that $U_{2}$ admits a harmonic extension to a neighborhood of $x_{0}$. Similarly, we get that $V_{2}$ admits a harmonic extension to a neighborhood of $x_{0}$. Therefore $\frac{\partial^{k} U_{2}}{\partial x^{k}}(z), \frac{\partial^{k} V_{2}}{\partial x^{k}}(z)=O(1)$ in a neighborhood of $x_{0}$. We get $U$ and $V$ satisfy the required equations if $U_{1}$ and $V_{1}$ satisfy the same equation.
Let $F$ be analytic representation of $f$. Since $U(z)=F(z)-F(\bar{z})$ and $V(z)=i(F(z)+$ $F(\bar{z})$ ) for the $k$-derivative, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} U}{\partial x^{k}}(z)=F^{(k)}(z)-F^{(k)}(\bar{z}) \text { and } \frac{\partial^{k} V}{\partial x^{k}}(z)=-i\left(F^{(k)}(z)+F^{(k)}(\bar{z})\right) .
$$

From Theorem 2.1. we get the required relations.
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