International J. of Pure & Engg. Mathematics (IJPEM) ISSN 2348-3881, Vol. 4 No. II (August, 2016), pp. 135-144

# SOME NEW TYPES OF FILTERS IN BCK-ALGEBRA

HABEEB KAREEM ABDULLAH<sup>1</sup> AND KARAR TAHER RADHY<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> University of Kufa Faculty of Education for Girls, Department of mathematics, Iraq

### Abstract

In this paper, we study a new types of filters its called complete BCK-filter denoted by (c-BCK-filter), complete crazy filter denoted by (c-crazy filter) and closed with respect to c-BCK filter. Also we stated and prove some theorems which determine the relationship between these notions.

## 1. Introduction

In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iseki introduced the a new notation called a BCK-algebra [7], thereafter in 1980, E. Y. Deeba [1] introduced the notation of filters and in the setting of bounded implicative BCK-algebra constructed quotient algebra via a filter. In 1996 J. Meng [2] introduced the notion of BCK-filter in BCK-algeba. Then, after in 2007 Wieslaw Dudek and young Bae Jun gave the definition of the poor and crazy filters see [6]. The paper is organized as follows, in section 1 we introduced some definitions and results on BCK-algebra which we use in this paper. In section 2 we introduced definitions of completely BCK filter, completely crazy filter, closed with respect to c-BCK filter and relationship between them.

© http://www.ascent-journals.com

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic concepts about BCK-algebra, BCK-filter, crazy filter and basic concepts that we need in our work.

**Definition 2.1** [7] : A BCK-algebra is a set X with a binary operation "\*" and constant "0" which satisfies the following axioms :

(1) ((x \* y) \* (x \* z)) \* (z \* y) = 0,

(2) 
$$(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$$
,

- (3) x \* x = 0,
- (4) 0 \* x = 0,
- (5) x \* y = 0 and y \* x = 0 imply x = y.

**Remark 2.2** [7] : A BCK-algebra can be (partially) ordered by  $x \le y$  if and only if x \* y = 0.

Theorem 2.3 [7] : In any BCK-algebra, the following hold :

(1) 
$$x * 0 = x$$
,

- (2)  $x * y \leq x$ ,
- (3) (x \* y) \* z = (x \* z) \* y,
- (4)  $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$ ,
- (5)  $x \leq y$  implies  $x * z \leq y * z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$ ,

## for all $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.4** [4]: If there is a special element e of a BCK-algebra X satisfying  $x \le e$  for all  $x \in X$  then e is called a unit of X. A BCK-algebra with unit is called bounded BCK-algebra. In a bounded BCK-algebra X, we denoted by for every e \* x by  $x^*$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5 [2]**: A BCK-algebra X is said to be **commutative** if satisfies x\*(x\*y) = y\*(y\*x) and for all  $x, y \in X, y*(y*x) = x \land y$  and  $(x^* \land y^*)^* = x \lor y$ .

**Definition 2.6** [4]: For a bounded BCK-algebra, if an element x satisfies  $(x^*)^* = x$ , then x is called an involution. If every element of X is an involution, we call X is an involutory BCK-algebra.

Proposition 2.7 [3]: A bounded commutative BCK-algebra is involutory.Proposition 2.8 [3]: In a bounded BCK-algebra, we have

- (1)  $e^* = 0$  and  $0^* = e$ ,
- (2)  $y \le x$  implies  $x^* \le y^*$ ,
- (3)  $x^* * y^* \le y * x$ ,
- (4)  $x^* * y^* = y * x$ , when X is involtant (commutative),

**Definition 2.9** [3]: A nonempty subset F of a bounded BCK-algebra X is called BCK-filter if

- (1)  $e \in F$
- (2)  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F, y \in F$  implies  $x \in F$ .

**Definition 2.10** [5] : A nonempty subset F of bounded BCK-algebra X is called a crazy filter if it satisfied

- (1)  $e \in F$ ,
- (2)  $(y * x)^* \in F, y \in F$  implies  $x \in F$ .

**Definition 2.11** [4] : Let f be a mapping from a BCK-algebra X into a BCK-algebra Y. Then f is called

(1) Homomorphism if f(x \* y) = f(x) \* f(y) for all  $x, y \in Y$ .

(2) Epimorphism if f is homomorphism and onto.

- (3) Monomorphism if f is homomorphism and one to one.
- (4) Isomorphism if f is epimorphism and monomorphism.

**Lemma 2.12** [4]: If f is a homomorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y, then f is isotone i.e,  $x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.13** [5] : Suppose f is an epimorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y, then  $f(e_x) = e_y$  where  $e_x, e_y$  are the units of X and Y respectively.

**Lemma 2.14** : let f be an isomorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y, then

(1)  $f(x^*) = (f(x))^*$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

(2) 
$$f^{-1}(y^*) = (f^{-1}(y))^*$$
, for all  $y \in Y$ .

**Proof** :

- (1)  $f(x^*) = f(e_x * x) = f(e_x) * f(x) = e_y * f(x) = (f(x))^*$  by Lemma 2.13.
- (2)  $f^{-1}(y^*) = f^{-1}(e_y * y) = f^{-1}(e_y) * f^{-1}(y) = e_x * f^{-1}(y) = (f^{-1}(y))^*$  by lemma 2.13.

**Theorem 2.15** : If f is an isomorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y, then the image of a crazy filter is a crazy filter.

**Proof**: Let f be an isomorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y and let A be a crazy filter in X, then  $e_x \in A$  so  $f(e_x) = e_y \in f(A)$ , (by Lemma 2.13).

Now let  $(y * x)^* \in f(A), y \in f(A)$ , then  $f^{-1}((y * x)^*) \in A, f^{-1}(y) \in A$  (since f is onto). But  $f^{-1}((y * x)^*) = (f^{-1}(y * x))^* = (f^{-1}(y) * f^{-1}(x))^*$  by (Lemma 2.14(2)). Therefore,  $(f^{-1}(y) * f^{-1}(x))^* \in A, f^{-1}(y) \in A$ .

Since A is a crazy filter in X, then  $f^{-1}(x) \in A$ , thus  $x \in f(A)$ , that means f(A) is a crazy filter in Y.

**Theorem 2.16** : If f is an epimorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y, then the inverse image of a crazy filter is a crazy filter.

**Proof**: Let f be an epimorphism from BCK-algebra X into BCK-algebra Y and let B be a crazy filter in Y. Then  $e_y \in B$  since  $f(e_x) = e_y \in B$ , (by Lemma 2.13), thus  $e_x \in f^{-1}(B)$ .

Now let  $(y * x)^* \in f^{-1}(B), y \in f^{-1}(B)$ , so  $f((y * x)^*) \in B, f(y) \in B$ . But  $f((y * x)^*) = (f(y * x))^* = (f(y) * f(x))^*$  (by Lemma 2.14 (1)).

138

Therefore  $(f(y) * f(x))^* \in B$ ,  $f(y) \in B$ , then  $f(x) \in B$ , since B is a crazy filter, thus  $x \in f^{-1}(B)$ , that means  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a crazy filter in X.

## 3. The Main Results

In this section, we provide a definitions of complete BCK-filter and complete crazy filter. And, we study its relationship with BCK-filter in BCK-algebra.

**Definition 3.1** : A subset F of a bounded BCK-algebra X is said to be complete BCK-filter (c-BCK-filter), if,

- (1)  $e \in F$
- (2)  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F, \forall y \in F \text{ implies } x \in F.$

**Example 3.2**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined by

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	1	0	0
3	3	2	1	0

It is clear that (X, \*, 0) is a bounded BCK-algebra (see [3]) and  $F = \{1, 3\}$  is c-BCK-filter.

**Example 3.3**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined by

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	2	0

It is clear that (X, \*, 0) is a bounded BCK-algebra and  $F = \{0, 2\}$  is not c-BCK-filter since  $(1^* * 0^*)^* = 2 \in F$  and  $(1^* * 2^*)^* = 0 \in F$  but  $1 \notin F$ .

**Proposition 3.4** : Every BCK-filter in bounded BCK-algebra X is a c-BCK-filter.

**Proof** : Let F be a BCK-filter and let  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F$ ,  $\forall y \in F$ .

Since F is BCK-filter, then  $x \in F$ . Thus F is c-BCK-filter.

**Remark 3.5** : The converse of Proposition 3.4 needs not be true in general as in the Example 3.2  $F = \{1, 3\}$  is c-BCK-filter but it's not BCK-filter, since  $(2^* * 1^*)^* = 3 \in F$  but  $2 \notin F$ .

**Corollary 3.6** : In general  $\{e\}$ , X are trivial c-BCK-filter.

**Proposition 3.7**: If X is involutory BCK-algebra then every subset of X contained e is c-BCK-filter.

**Proof**: Clear by  $(x^* * e^*)^* = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Example 3.8**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined by

				3	
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0
2	2	1	0	0	0
3	3	1	1	0	0
4	4	3	2	0 0 0 1	0

It is clear that (X, \*0) is an involutory bounded BCK-algebra with unit 4 (see [4]) and  $\{4\}, \{0, 4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \cdots$  etc are c-BCK-filter.

**Corollary 3.9** : If X is bounded commutative BCK-algebra then every subset of X contained e is c-BCK-filter.

**Proposition 3.10** : If X is bounded BCK-algebra, |x| > 2 and  $x^* = e$  for all  $x \in X$  such that  $x \neq e$  then

- (1) Every a proper subset F of X contain 0 is not c-BCK-filter
- (2) Every a proper subset F of X not contain 0 and contain e is c-BCK-filter.

## **Proof** :

- (1) Since  $\exists x \in X$  such that  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F$ ,  $\forall y \in F$  but  $x \notin F$ .
- (2) Since  $\forall x \in X$  such that  $(x^* * e^*)^* = 0 \notin F$ .

**Remark 3.11** : Note that the intersection and union of two c-BCK-filter are not necessary to be c-BCK-filter as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.12**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0

Then (X, \*, 0) is bounded BCK-algebra with unit 4 (see [4]). Now let  $F_1 = \{0, 1, 4\}$  and  $F_2 = \{0, 3, 4\}$ , we can show easily that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are c-BCK-filter in X. But  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \{0, 4\}$  cannot be c-BCK-filter, since  $(2^* * 4^*) = 0 \in F_1 \cap F_2$  and  $(2^* * 0^*)^* = 4 \in F_1 \cap F_2$ , but  $2 \notin F_1 \cap F_2$ .

So  $F_1 \cup F_2 = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$  cannot be c-BCK-filter, since  $(2^* * 4^*)^* = 0 \in F_1 \cup F_2$ ,  $(2^* * 1)^* = 3 \in F_1 \cup F_2$ ,  $((2^* * 3^*)^* = 1 \in F_1 \cup F_2$  and  $(2^* * 0)^* = 4 \in F_1 \cup F_2$ , but  $2 \notin F_1 \cup F_2$ .

**Proposition 3.13**: Let f be isomorphism from a bounded BCK-algebra X into a bounded BCK-algebra Y, the image of c-BCK-filter is c-BCK-filter.

**Proof**: Let f be an isomorphism function from bounded BCK-algebra X into bounded BCK-algebra Y and let F be a c-BCK-filter in X.

Then  $e_x \in F$  so  $(e_x) = e_y \in f(F)$ , (by Lemma 2.13).

Now let  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F$ ,  $\forall y \in f(F)$ , then  $f^{-1}((x^* * y^*)^*) \in F$ ,  $\forall f^{-1}(y) \in F$ , (since f is onto).

But  $f^{-1}((x^* * y^*)^*) = ((f^{-1}(x))^* * (f^{-1}(y))^*)^*$ , by (Lemma 2.14(2)). Therefore  $((f^{-1}(x))^* * (f^{-1}(y))^*)^* \in F, \forall f^{-1}(y) \in F$ .

Since F is c-BCK-filter in X, then  $f^{-1}(x) \in F$ . Thus  $x \in f(F)$ . That means f(F) is c-BCK-filter in Y.

**Proposition 3.14** : Let f be epimorphism from a bounded BCK-algebra X into a bounded BCK-algebra Y, the inverse image of c-BCK-filter is c-BCK-filter.

**Proof**: Let f be epimorphism from a bounded BCK-algebra X into a bounded BCK-algebra Y and let D be a c-BCK-filter in Y, so  $e_y \in D$ .

Then  $f(e_x) = e_y \in D$  so  $e_x \in f^{-1}(D)$ , (by Lemma 2.13).

Now let  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in f^{-1}(D), \forall y \in f^{-1}(D)$ , so  $f((x^* * y^*)^*) \in D, \forall f(y) \in D$ , since f is onto). But  $f((x^* * y^*)^*) = ((f(x))^* * (f(y))^*)^* \in D, \forall f(y) \in D$ . Then  $f(x) \in D$  since D is a c-BCK-filter, therefore  $x \in f^{-1}(D)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(D)$  is c-BCK-filter.

**Proposition 3.15** : Assume that X is involutory. Then a nonempty subset F of X is a c-BCK-filter of X if and only if satisfies

(1)  $e \in F$ 

(2)  $(y * x)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$  implies  $x \in F$ .

**Proof**: Let F is c-BCK-filter, then  $e \in F$  and let  $(y * x)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$ . Then  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$  (since X is involutory). Thus  $x \in F$  (since F is c-BCK-filter).

Conversely, let  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$  since X is involutory. Then  $(y * x)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$ (since X is involutory). Thus  $y \in F$ , then F is c-BCK-filter.

**Corollary 3.16** : Assume that X is commutative. Then a nonempty subset F of X is a c-BCK-filter of X if and only if satisfies

(1)  $e \in F$ 

(2)  $(y * x)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$  implies  $x \in F$ .

**Proposition 3.17**: Let X be a bounded BCK-algebra and F be c-BCK-filter of X. If  $x \leq y \ \forall x \in F$  then  $y \in F$ .

**Proof**: Let  $x \leq y, \forall x \in F$  then  $y^* \leq x^*$ , since  $(y^* * x^*)^* = (0)^* = e \in F$  and F is c-BCK-filter then  $y \in F$ .

**Definition 3.18** : A subset F of a BCK-algebra X is said to be complete crazy-filter (c-Crazy-filter), if,

(1)  $e \in F$ 

(2)  $(y * x)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$  implies  $x \in F$ .

**Example 3.19**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined by

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	$   \begin{array}{c}     0 \\     2 \\     3   \end{array} $	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0

It is clear that (X, \*, 0) is a bounded BCK-algebra with unit 4 and  $F = \{1, 4\}$  is ccrazy-filter.

**Proposition 3.20**: Every crazy filter in bounded BCK-algebra X is c-crazy-filter.

**Proof**: Let F be a crazy filter and let  $(y * x)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$ , since F is crazy filter, then  $x \in F$ , thus F is c-crazy-filter.

**Remark 3.21** : The converse of Proposition 3.20 needs not be true in general as in the example (3.19)  $F = \{1, 4\}$  is c-crazy-filter but it's not crazy-filter, since  $(1*3)^* = 4 \in F$  but  $3 \notin F$ .

**Definition 3.22**: Let F and D be two subset of bounded BCK-algebra X such that  $F \subseteq D$ , then F is said to be closed with respect to D, if  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in D, \forall y \in F$ , then  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F, x \in X$ .

**Example 3.23**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined by

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	2	1	0

It is clear that (X, \*, 0) is a bounded BCK-algebra with unit 3

- (1) Let  $F = \{1, 3\}$  and  $D = \{0, 1, 3\}$ , then F is closed with respect to D.
- (2) Let  $F = \{0,3\}$  and  $D = \{0,1,3\}$ , then F is not closed with respect to D, since  $(1^* * 3^*)^* = 1 \in D$  and  $(1^* * 0^*)^* = 3 \in D$ , but  $(1^* * 3^*) = 1 \notin F$ .

**Proposition 3.24**: Let F and D be two subset of involutory bounded BCK-algebra X such that  $F \subseteq D$ , then F is said to be closed with respect to D, if and only if  $(y * x)^* \in D, \forall y \in F$ , then  $(y * x)^* \in F, x \in X$ .

 $\mathbf{Proof}:\ \mathbf{Clear}.$ 

**Proposition 3.25** : The union of family of closed with respect to D is closed with respect to D.

**Proof**: Let  $\{F_i : i \in \Delta\}$  be a family of closed with respect to D and let  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in D, \forall y \in \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} F_i$ , since  $\forall i \in \Delta, F_i$  is closed with respect to D then  $\exists j \in \Delta$  such that  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in D, \forall y \in F_j$ , then  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F_j$ . Thus  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} F_i$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} F_i$  is closed with respect to D.

**Remark 3.26**: Note that the intersection of two closed with respect to D is not closed with respect to D. As it is shown in the following example.

**Example 3.27**: Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0	0
3	3	3	2	0	2	0
4	4	2	1	1	0	0
5	0 1 2 3 4 5	3	4	1	2	0

It is clear that (X, \*, 0) is a bounded BCK-algebra with unit 5 (see[4]).

Let  $D = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$  and  $F_1 = \{0, 1, 3, 5\}, F_2 = \{0, 2, 3, 5\}$  are closed with respect to D, but  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \{0, 3, 5\}$  is not closed with respect to D, since  $(0 * 0)^* = 5 \in D, (3 * 0)^* = 1 \in D$  and  $(5 * 0)^* = 0 \in D$ , but  $(3 * 0)^* = 1 \notin F_1 \cap F_2$ .

**Remark 3.28** : If  $F \subseteq D$  and F is c-BCK-filter, then D is not in general c-BCK-filter, as in the following example.

**Example 3.29** : Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and a binary operation \* is defined by

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
2	2	2	0

It is clear that (X, \*, 0) is a bounded BCK-algebra with unit  $2F = \{2\}$  and  $D = \{0, 2\}$ *F* is c-BCK-filter, but *D* is not c-BCK-filter.

**Proposition 3.30**: Let F be c-BCK-filter of bounded BCK-algebra X and  $\phi \neq F \subseteq D$ . If F is closed with respect to D, then D is c-BCK-filter.

**Proof**: Let  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in D, \forall y \in D$ . Since  $F \subseteq D$ , then  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in D, \forall y \in F$ . Since F is closed with respect to D, then  $(x^* * y^*)^* \in F, \forall y \in F$ , since F is c-BCK-filter, thus  $x \in F$ , consequently  $x \in D$ , then D is c-BCK-filter.

#### References

- [1] Deeba E. Y., Filter theory of BCK-algebra, Math. Japon, 25 (1980), 631-639.
- [2] Iseki K. and Tanaka S., An introduction to ideal theory of BCK-algebra, Math. Japanica, 23 (1978), 1-26.
- [3] Meng Jie., BCK-filters, Math. Japan., 44 (1996), 119-129.
- [4] Meng Jie. and Jun Y. B., BCK-algebras, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, Korean, (1994).
- [5] Pelyan sun, Homomorphism theorems on dual ideals in BCK-algebras, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 26(3) (July 2000), 309-316.
- [6] Wiselaw A. Dudek and Young Bae Jun, Poor and Crazy Filter of BCK-algebra, (2007).
- [7] Lmai Y. and Iseki K., On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV, Proc. Japan Academy, 42 (1966), 19-22.