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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the paired neighbourhood set problem on interval graphs. Given an interval graph $G$ with end points sorted, we give an $0(n+m)$ algorithm to find a paired neighbourhood set, where $n$ and $m$ denote the number of vertices and number of edges respectively.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G(V, E)$ be a graph. The closed neighbourhood of a vertex $v \in V$ in $G$ is defined as $v$ and the set of vertices that are adjacent to $v$ in $G$. The closed neighbourhood is denoted by $N[v]$. A set $S$ of vertices in $G$ is called a neighbourhood set of $G$ if $G=\bigcup_{v \in S}\langle N[v]\rangle$, where $\langle N[v]\rangle$ is the subgraph induced by $N[v]$. A neighbourhood set with minimum
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cardinality is called a minimum neighbourhood set. A subset $S$ of $V$ is called a paired neighbourhood set, if $S$ is a neighbourhood set and the induced subgraph on $S$ has a perfect matching.
A graph $G(V, E)$ is called an intersection graph for a family $\mathcal{F}$ of a non empty set, if there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{F}$ and $V$ such that two sets in $\mathcal{F}$ have non empty intersection if and only if their corresponding vertices in $V$ are adjacent. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of intervals on a real line, then $G$ is called an interval graph for $\mathcal{F}$. Each interval is represented as a vertex and there is an edge between two vertices if the corresponding intervals intersect each other.
Interval graphs have found applications in a wide range of fields such as genetics, scheduling, computer science etc., they have been studied by many researchers $[1,2,3]$. Neighbourhood and Paired neighbourhood set problems have been researched in [4,5,6,7]. In the following sections we present an algorithm to find a minimum paired neighbourhood set of an interval graph and also give the proof of correctness of the algorithm.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $I=\{1,2,3, \cdots, n\}$ be a family of intervals on real line. Each interval in $I$ is represented by $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Here $a_{i}$ is called the left endpoint and $b_{i}$ is called the right endpoint of interval $i$. The intervals are labelled in increasing order of their right endpoints. This ordering is possible and can be obtained in $0(n+m)$ time, where $n$ is the number of vertices and $m$ is the number of edges as in [2].

For each interval $i$ we define $\operatorname{IEB}(i)$ as the set of intervals whose right endpoints are less than $a_{i}$, that is set of intervals ending before $i . \max a(\operatorname{IEB}(i))$ is the interval with largest left endpoint ending before $i$. mate $(i)$ be the interval that intersects $i$ with minimum left endpoint and $\operatorname{Pred}(i)$ is the interval that intersects $i$ with minimum left endpoint and whose right endpoint is less than $b_{i}$.
Following the above definitions, we present an algorithm MPN to find the minimum paired neighbourhood of an interval graph $G[I]$.
Let $I=\{1,2,3, \cdots, n\}$ be a family of intervals on real line, we introduce two intervals $n+1$ and $n+2$ with $a_{n+1}=2 n+1, a_{n+2}=2 n+2, b_{n+1}=2 n+3$ and $b_{n+2}=2 n+4$. Let $I_{p}$ be the set of intervals obtained by augmenting $I$ with the two intervals $n+1$ and $n+2$. The following algorithm finds the minimum paired neighbourhood set of interval
graph $G[I]$.

## 3. Algorithm MPN

Input: Family of intervals $I_{p}=\{1,2,3, \cdots, n, n+1, n+2\}$
Output: A minimum paired neighbourhood set MPN of $G\left[I_{p}\right]$.
Step 1 : Start
Step 2: Find $\max a(I E B(j))$ for all $j \in I_{p}$.
Step 3: Find mate ( $j$ ) for all $j \in I_{p}$.
Step 4: Find $\operatorname{Pred}(j)$ for all $j \in I_{p}$
Step 5: $M P N(0)=0$
Step 6 : For $j=1$ to $n+2$ do
$m$ be the interval with maximum left endpoint in the set
$a(\operatorname{IEB}(\min (j, \operatorname{mate}(j))))$
$m=\max a(\operatorname{IEB}(\min (j, \operatorname{mate}(j))))$
Step 7: Let $k=\operatorname{pred}(m)$
Step 8: MPN $(j)=\{\operatorname{mate}(j), j) \cup M P N(k)$.
Step 9 : End.
Output: $M P N(n+2)$.

## 4. Proof of Correctness

We prove that the algorithm is correct in the sense that the output $M P N(n+2)$ is minimum paired neighbourhood of $G\left[I_{p}\right]$.
Lemma 1 : The intervals whose left endpoints are between $j$ and mate $(j)$ intersects $j$ or mate ( $j$ ).
Proof: Since the intervals are labelled in the increasing order of their right endpoints, the proof of the statement follows immediately.
Lemma 2: Let $j$ and $m$ be two intervals such that $m=\max a(\operatorname{IEB}(\min (j, \operatorname{mate}(j))))$ then $j$ and $m$ do not intersect.
Proof: By definition $m=\max a(\operatorname{IEB}(\min (j$, mate $(j))))$, two different cases arise here.
Case (i) : $\min (j, \operatorname{mate}(j))=j$.
In this case, by definition $m$ is an interval which ends before $j$ and hence they do not intersect.

Case (ii) : $\min (j, \operatorname{mate}(j))=\operatorname{mate}(j)$.
By definition of mate $(j)$, the left endpoint of mate $(j)$ is the minimum among all intervals which intersect $j$. In this case too $m$ is an interval which ends before $j$. Hence they do not intersect.

Theorem 1 : For any interval $j$, the set $\{j, \operatorname{mate}(j), k, \operatorname{mate}(k)\}$ where $k=\operatorname{pred}(m)$ and $m=\max a(\operatorname{IEB}(\min (j, \operatorname{mate}(j))))$ forms a paired neighbourhood set for the induced graph of the intervals from $k$ to $j$.
Proof: Let $H=G[k, \cdots, j]$ and $H^{\prime}=G[N[j, \operatorname{mate}(j), k, \operatorname{mate}(k)]]$.
The proof is done if we show that all the vertices and all the edges in $H$ are contained in $H^{\prime}$.

By using the definition of $\operatorname{IEB}(j)$ and the definition of $k$, if there is an interval which does not belong to $N[j, \operatorname{mate}(j), k$, mate $(k)]$, then $k$ cannot be the $\operatorname{pred}(m)$ which is a contradiction since $k=\operatorname{pred}(m)$. Thus $N[j, \operatorname{mate}(j), k, \operatorname{mate}(k)]$ contains all the intervals from $k$ to $j$.
Hence, all the vertices of $H$ are in $H^{\prime}$.
Now, we must show that all the edges of $H$ are in $H^{\prime}$. Suppose there is an edge which belongs to $H$ but is not in $H^{\prime}$. Then $(x, y) \notin H^{\prime}$ implies that both $x$ and $y$ does not belong to $N[j]$ or $N[\operatorname{mate}(j)]$ or $N[k]$ or $N[\operatorname{mate}(k)]$. Without loss of generality let $x \in N[j, \operatorname{mate}(j)]$ and $y \in N[k, \operatorname{mate}(k)]$.
Then by Lemma 2, it is clear that $x \notin N[k$, $\operatorname{mate}(k)]$. Then by the definitions of $I E B$ and $\operatorname{pred}(j), k$ cannot be $\operatorname{pred}(j)$, which is a contradiction.
Hence $x \in N[k$, mate $(k)]$, therefore $(x, y) \in H^{\prime}$.

$$
\therefore \quad H \subseteq H^{\prime} .
$$

Theorem 2: The algorithm MPN produces the minimum paired neighbourhood set of $G(I)$.
Proof : From Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, it is clear that MPN produces a paired neighbourhood set of $G[I]$. We have to show that the paired neighbourhood set produced by MPN is the minimum paired neighbourhood set of $G\left[I_{p}\right]$.
Let $m_{t}=\max a\left(\min \left(I_{t}, \operatorname{mate}\left(I_{t}\right)\right)\right.$ and $I_{t-1}=\operatorname{pred}\left(m_{t}\right)$.
Suppose $M P N=\left\{I_{1}\right.$, mate $\left(I_{1}\right), \cdots, I_{t}$, mate $\left.\left(I_{t}\right)\right\}$. Therefore $|M P N|=2 t$.
Let $S$ be any minimum paired neighbourhood of $G\left[I_{p}\right]$, then it is enough to show that
$|M P N| \leq|S|$. Consider any two consecutive pairs say $\left(I_{j}, \operatorname{mate}\left(I_{j}\right)\right)$ and $\left(I_{j+1}\right.$, mate $\left.\left(I_{j+1}\right)\right)$, then by Theorem 1, $H=G\left[I_{j} \cdots I_{j+1}\right]$ is contained in $H^{\prime}=G\left[N\left[I_{j}\right.\right.$, mate $\left(I_{j}\right)$, $\left.\left.I_{j+1}, \operatorname{mate}\left(I_{j+1}\right)\right]\right]$.
Suppose ( $I_{m}$, mate $\left(I_{m}\right)$ ) is a pair of intervals in $I$ such that $G\left[I_{m}\right.$, mate $\left.\left(I_{m}\right)\right] \nsubseteq H^{\prime}$. Then since $S$ is minimum neighbourhood of $G[I]$, there is a pair in induced graph of $S$, such that $G\left[I_{m}\right.$, mate $\left.\left(I_{m}\right)\right] \cup H^{\prime}$ is covered by it. Thus for any two consecutive pairs in $M P N$ there is at least one pair in $S$. As there are $t$ such pairs in $M P N$, there must be atleast $t$ such pairs in $S$. Thus $|S| \geq 2 t$.

$$
\therefore|M P N| \leq|S| .
$$

Hence $M P N$ is a minimum paired neighbourhood set.

## Time complexity :

Theorem 3: Given an interval family labelled in increasing order of their right endpoints, the minimum paired neighbourhood $G[I]$ of can be found in $0(n+m)$ time.
Proof : By an algorithm given by Chang [2], to find $\max a(\operatorname{IEB}(j))$ for all $j \in I_{p}$ given in step 1 , it would take $0(n)$ time. The time taken to perform step 2 and step 3 is at most $0(m)$. Since all the values used in the for loop are already available, to find $m$ given in the loop takes $0(n)$ time.
Thus overall time complexity to find minimum paired neighbourhood is $\mathbf{0}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$.

## 5. Conclusion

Given an interval graph with endpoints sorted, we have presented an $0(n+m)$ time algorithm to solve the minimum paired neighbourhood problem on interval graphs. The result can be extended to find minimum paired neighbourhood of circular-arc graphs.
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