International J. of Pure & Engg. Mathematics (IJPEM) ISSN 2348-3881, Vol. 4 No. III (December, 2016), pp. 75-80

ON PRIME AND SEMI PRIME NEAR RINGS WITH DERIVATIONS

A. R. GOTMARE¹ AND I. M. JADHAV²

^{1.2} Departments of Mathematics, GDM Arts KRN Commerce and MD Science College, Jamner Dist. Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Let N be a semi prime right rings, A a subset of N such that $0 \in A$ and $AN \subseteq A$ and d be a derivation on N. The purpose of this paper is to show that if d acts as a homomorphism on A or anti homomorphism on A then d(A) = 0.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper N will be right near ring. A derivation on N is defined to be an additive endomorphism satisfying the product rule d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y for all $x, y \in N$. According to Bell and Mason 1 a near ring N is said to be prime if xNy = 0for all $x, y \in N \Rightarrow x = 0$ or y = 0 and N is said to be semi prime if $xNx = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ for all $x \in N$. Let S be a nonempty subset of N and d be a derivation on N. If d(xy) = d(x)d(y) or d(xy) = d(y)d(x) for all $x, y \in S$ then, d is said to act as an homomorphism or anti homomorphism on S respectively.

© http://www.ascent-journals.com

Bell and Kappe 3 proved that if d is derivation of semiprime ring R which is either an endomorphism or anti endomorphism, then d is = 0. They also showed that if d is derivation of prime ring R which acts as a homomorphism or anti homomorphism on I, where I is non zero right ideal, then d = 0 on R.

2. Results

The aim of this paper is to prove the above conclusions holds for near rings.

Theorem : Let N be a semi prime right near ring, and d a derivation on N. Let A be a subset of N such that $0 \in A$ and $AN \subset A$. If d acts as a homomorphism on A or as an anti homomorphism on A then dA = 0.

In order to prove theorem we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 : If N is a right near ring and d is a derivation on N, then cyd(x)d(y)x = cyd(x) + cd(y)x for all $x, y, c \in N$.

Lemma 2: Let N be a right near ring and d a derivation on N and A a multiplicative sub semi group of N which contains 0. If d acts as an anti homomorphism on A then $a_0 = 0$, $\forall a \in A$.

Proof: Since $a_0 = 0$, $\forall a \in A$ and d acts as an anti homomorphism on A then we have d(a) = 0, $\forall a \in A \ a_0 + d(a)0 = 0$, $foralla \in A$. Thus we get $a_0 = 0$, $\forall a \in A$.

Lemma 3 : Let N be a right near ring and A a multiplicative sub semi group of N then

(i) If d acts as a homomorphism on A then

$$d(y)xd(y) = yxd(y) = d(y)xy, \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

$$(3.1)$$

(ii) If d acts as an anti homomorphism on A then

$$d(y)xd(y) = d(y)yx = xyd(y), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

$$(3.2)$$

Proof : (i) Let d acts as an homomorphism on A. Then

$$d(xy) = xd(y) + d(y) = d(x)d(y), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$
(3.3)

Now taking yx instead of x in 3.3 We have

$$yxd(y) + d(yx)y = d(yx)d(y) = d(y)d(xy) \ x, y \in A.$$
(3.4)

Now by Lemma 1,

$$d(y)d(xy) = d(y)xd(y) + d(y)d(x)y = d(y)xd(y)d(yx)y.$$

Using this relation in (3.4), we obtain yxd(y) = d(y)xd(y), $\forall x, y \in A$. Similarly taking yx instead of y in (3.3), we can prove the relation

$$d(y)xd(y) = d(y)xy, \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

(ii) Let d acts as an anti homomorphism on A, we have,

$$d(xy) = xd(y) + d(y) = d(y)d(x), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$
(3.5)

Putting xy for y in (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} xd(xy) + d(x)xy &= d(xy)d(x) \\ &= xd(y) + d(x)d(x)yd(x) \\ &= xd(xy) + d(x)yd(x), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

From this relation we have d(x)xy = d(x)yd(x) = 0, $\forall x, y \in A$. Similarly by taking xy instead of x in (3.5), one can prove that,

$$d(y)xd(x) = xyd(y), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

Proof of the Theorem: Case (i). Let d acts an homomorphism on A. Then by Lemma 3(i), we have,

$$d(y)xd(y) = d(y)xy, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A.$$
(3.6)

Right multiplying (3.6) by d(z), where $z \in A$ and using the hypothesis d acts as an homomorphism on A together with Lemma 1. We obtain d(y)xd(y)z = 0, forall, $x, y, z \in A$. Taking xr instead of x, where $r \in N$, we have d(y)xrd(y)z = 0, $\forall x, y, z \in A$ and $r \in N$.

Hence d(y)xd(y)x = 0 for all $x, y \in A$ and by semiprimeness

$$d(y)x = 0, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A. \tag{3.7}$$

Substuting yr for y (3.7), where $r \in N$,

$$\Rightarrow, yd(r)x + d(y)rx = 0, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A, r \in N.$$
(3.8)

Now left mulyiply (3.8) by d(z), where $z \in A$, we have

$$d(z)yd(r)x + d(z)d(y)rx = 0.$$

According to the relation (3.7) reduces to d(zy)rx = 0 for all $x, y, z \in A$ and $r \in N$. By semiprimeness, we get

$$zd(y) = 0 = zrd(y) \quad \forall \quad y, z \in A \quad \text{and} \quad r \in N.$$
(3.9)

Combining (3.7), (3.9) shows that $d(yz)0, \forall y, z \in A$.

In particular d(xrx) = 0, $\forall x, y \in A, r \in N$ and since d acts as homomorphism on A, we have

$$d(xr)d(x)=0=xd(r)d(x)+d(x)rd(x), \ \ \forall \ \ x,y\in A, \ r\in N.$$

In view of (3.9), this gives us d(x)Nd(x) = 0, $\forall x, y \in A$.

Case (ii) : Suppose d acts as an antihomomorphism on A. Note that $a_0 = 0$, $\forall a \in A$, by Lemma 2. Now according to Lemma 3(ii),

$$d(y)xd(y) = xyd(y), \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A.$$

$$(3.10)$$

$$d(y)xd(y) = d(y)yx, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A.$$
(3.11)

Replacing x by xd(y) in (3.10) and using Lemma 1, we have

$$d(y)xyd(y) + d(y)xd(y)y = xd(y)yd(y), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

$$(3.12)$$

Substituting xy for x in (3.10), we have,

$$d(y)xyd(y) = xy^2 d(y), \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

$$(3.13)$$

Right multiplying (2.10) by y, we get

$$d(y)xd(y)y = xyd(y)y, \quad \forall \ x, y \in A.$$

$$(3.14)$$

Replacing x by y in (2.10), we have $d(y)yd(y) = y^2d(y)$ and left multiplying this relation by x, we get

$$xd(y)yd(y) = xy^2d(y), \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A.$$
(3.15)

Using (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.12), one can easily obtain $xyd(y)y = 0 \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A$. Hence yryd(y)y = 0 and $yd(y)yryd(y)y = yd(y)0 = 0, \forall \quad y \in A, r \in N$ and by semiprimeness

$$yd(y)y = 0, \quad \forall \quad y \in A. \tag{3.16}$$

According to (3.14), we get d(y)xd(y)y = 0, $\forall x, y \in A$. Using this relation in (3.11), we get

$$d(y)yxy0, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A. \tag{3.17}$$

Replacing x by xd(y) in (3.17), we have d(y)yxd(y)y = 0 = d(y)yrxd(y)yx, $\forall x, y \in A$ and $r \in N$. Hence

$$d(y)yx = 0, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A. \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.18) and (3.11), one can obtain d(y)xd(y) = 0 = d(y)xrd(y)x, $\forall x, y \in A, r \in N$. Hence,

$$d(y)x = 0, \quad \forall \quad x, y \in A. \tag{3.19}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x(z)d(yx)x &= 0\\ xd(z)(yd(n) + d(y)n)x &= 0\\ xd(z)yd(n)x + xd(z)d(y)nx &= 0, \ \ \forall \ \ x,y,z \in A, \ n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.19), this gives xd(z)d(y)nx = 0 = xd(z)d(y)nxd(z)d(y).

Hence xd(z)d(y) = 0, $\forall x, y, z \in A$. Since d acts an an anti homomorphism on A, we have xd(yz) = 0, $\forall x, y, z \in A$. So that xyd(z) + xd(y)z = 0, $\forall x, y, z \in A$. By (3.19) we now get xyd(z) = 0 = xd(z)ryd(z). By taking x instead of y we get $xd(z) = \forall x, y, z \in A$. Recall (3.19) we have now d(xy) = 0 and d(xxr) = 0, $\forall x, \in A$ and $r \in N$. Thus d(xr)d(x) = 0. Hence the proof.

We have some consequences of the theorem.

Corollary : Let N is semi prime right near ring and d a derivation of N. If d acts as an homomorphism on N or as an anti homomorphism on N then d = 0.

References

- [1] Bell H. E. and Mason G., On Derivations in Near Rings, near fields, North Holland Mathematics.
- [2] Pilz G., Near Rings, North Holland, Amsterdam, New York.
- [3] Bell H. E. and Kappe L. G., Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions Acta Maths.