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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a generalized set-valued implicit quasi-variational inequality problem (GSIQVIP) in real uiniformly smooth Banach space. Using set-valued version of Boyd-Wong fixed point theorem [3], we prove the existence of solution for GSIQVIP. By exploiting the method of this paper, one can generalize and improve many known results in the literature.


## 1. Introduction

In 1973, Benssousan et al. [2] introduced a new class of variational inequalities known as quasi-variational inequalities arising in the study of optimization, economics and
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impulse control theory. In the variational inequality formulation, the underlying convex set $K$ does not depend upon the solution. In many important applications, the convex set $K$ depends implicitly on the solution. In this case, variational inequality is known as quasi-variational inequality, see for the details $[1,2,5,6,10-12]$.
The results concerning for solving monotone set-valued variational inequalities established by many authors are actually for single-valued variational inequalities inspite of involving set-valued mappings. Therefore, such methods used for studying the existence of solutions for set-valued variational inequalities need improvement. In 1999, Noor [11] considered a class of quasi-variational inequalities involving set-valued mappings with compact values in Hilbert space which is called the set-valued implicit quasi-variational inequalities. Using fixed point technique and projection method, he studied the existence of solution for a class of set-valued implicit quasi-variational inequalities.
Recently, many authors given in $[1,6,8,10-13]$ studied existence of solutions for some classes of variational inequalities involving single-valued and set-valued mappings in Banach spaces using some improved techniques. Therefore, it is an interesting problem to generalize and improve the techniques developed by some authors given in $[1,6$, 8, 10-12] to study the set-valued implicit quasi-variational inequality problem in real uniformly smooth Banach space under some weak conditions.

Inspired by recent research work in this direction, we consider a generalized setvalued implicit quasi-variational inequality problem (GSIQVIP) in real uniformly smooth Banach space. Using set-valued version of Boyd-Wong fixed point theorem [3], we prove the existence of solution for GSIQVIP.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a real Banach space equipped with norm $\|\cdot\| ; E^{*}$ be the topological dual space of $E ;\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ be the dual pair between $E$ and $E^{*} ; C B(E)$ be the family of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of $E$. Let $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Hausdorff metric on $C B(E)$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{H}(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} \inf _{y \in B} d(x, y), \sup _{y \in B} \inf _{x \in A} d(x, y)\right\} ; \quad A, B \in C B(E)
$$

and $J: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be the normalized duality mapping defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{f \in E^{*}: \quad\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|^{2},\|x\|=\|f\|\right\}, \quad \forall x \in E
$$

First, we recall and define the following known concepts and results.
Definition $2.1[4,7,13]$ : A Banach space $E$ is called smooth if for every $x \in E$ with $\|x\|=1$, there exists a unique $f \in E^{*}$ such that $\|f\|=f(x)=1$. The modulus of smoothness of $E$ is the function $\rho_{E}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, defined by

$$
\rho_{E}(\tau)=\sup \left\{\frac{(\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|)}{2}-1: x, y \in E,\|x\|=1,\|y\|=\tau\right\}
$$

Definition 2. 2 [4]: The space $E$ is said to be uniformly smooth if, $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{E}(\tau)}{\tau}=0$.
Remark 2.1 [4]: We note that if $E$ is smooth then the normalized duality mapping $J$ is single-valued and if $E \equiv H$, a Hilbert space, then $J$ becomes identity.
Lemma $2.1[4,7,13]:$ Let $E$ be an uniformly smooth Banach space and let $J: E \rightarrow$ $E^{*}$ be the normalized duality mapping. Then for all $x, y \in E$, we have
(i) $\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, J(x+y)\rangle$;
(ii) $\langle x-y, J(x)-J(y)\rangle \leq 2 d^{2} \rho_{E}(4\|x-y\| / d)$, where $d=\sqrt{\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right) / 2}$.

Definition 2.3 [9-12] : A set-valued mapping $T: E \rightarrow C B(E)$ is said to be $\mu$ - $\mathcal{H}$ Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\mu>0$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}(T(x), T(y)) \leq \mu\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdorff metric on $C B(E)$.
Theorem 2.1 [9-12] :
(i) Let $T: E \rightarrow C B(E)$ be a set-valued mapping on $E$. Then for any given $\epsilon>0$ and for any $x, y \in E$ and $u \in T(x)$, there exists $v \in T(y)$ such that

$$
\|u-v\| \leq(1+\epsilon) \mathcal{H}(T(x), T(y))
$$

(ii) If $T: E \rightarrow C(E)$, then above inequality holds for $\epsilon=0$.

Definition $2.4[1,7]$ : Let $K$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of uniformly smooth Banach space $E$. A mapping $R_{K}: E \rightarrow K$ is said to be:
(i) retraction if $R_{K}^{2}=R_{K}$;
(ii) nonexpansive retraction if $R_{K}(x)-R_{K}(y)\|\leq\| x-y \|, \quad \forall x, y \in E$;
(iii) sunny retraction if $R_{K}\left(R_{K}(x)-t\left(x-R_{K}(x)\right)\right)=R_{K}(x), \quad \forall x \in E, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem $2.2[1,7]$ : Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth Banach space and let $J: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ be the normalized duality mapping. Then $R_{K}$ is sunny nonexpansive retraction if and only if for all $x, y \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x-R_{K}(x), J\left(R_{K}(x)-y\right)\right\rangle \geq 0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition $2.5[3,9]$ : Let $F: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping; $X$ is a metric space with metric $d(\cdot, \cdot) ; P:=\{d(x, y): x, y \in X\}$ and let $\bar{P}$ denote the closure of $P$. Then:
(i) A point $x \in X$ is said to be fixed point of $F$ if $F(x)=x$;
(ii) $F$ is said to be contraction if $d(F(x), F(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X$, for some $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1$. Further, if $\alpha=1$, then $F$ is called nonexpansive.

Theorem 2.3 [3,9] : (Banach Contraction Principle). Every contraction mapping $F$ defined on a complete metric space $X$ has a unique fixed point.
Definition $2.6[3,13]$ : A metric space $X$ is said to be metrically convex if for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there is a $z \in X, x \neq z \neq y$ such that $d(x, y)=d(x, z)+d(z, y)$.
Theorem $2.4[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}]$ : Let $X$ be a complete metrically convex metric space. If, for the set-valued mapping $F: X \rightarrow 2^{X}$, there is a mapping $\psi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfying
(i) $D(F x, F y) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \forall x, y \in X$, where $D(.,$.$) is a metric on 2^{X}$, defined as $D(A, B)=\sup \{d(x, y): x \in A, y \in B\}, \forall A, B \in 2^{X} ;$
(ii) $\psi(t)<t, \forall t \in \bar{P} \backslash\{0\}$.

Then $F$ has a fixed-point and for any $x_{0} \in X, x_{n} \in F\left(x_{n-1}\right), n \geq 1,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to a fixed point of $F$ in $X$.

## 3. Generalized Set-Valued Implicit Quasi-Variational Inequality Problem

From now onwards, unless otherwise stated, we assume that $E$ is a real uniformly smooth Banach space.
Let $g: E \rightarrow E$ be a single-valued mapping and $T, A, S: E \rightarrow C B(E)$ be three setvalued mappings. Let $N: E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a nonlinear single-valued mapping and
$K: E \rightarrow 2^{E}$ be a set-valued mapping such that for any $x \in E, K(x)$ is a nonempty, closed and convex set in $E$, then we consider the following generalized set-valued implicit quasi-variational inequality problem (for short, GSIQVIP):

Find $x \in E, u \in T(x), v \in A(x), w \in S(x)$ such that $g(x) \in K(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle g(x)+N(u, v, w), J(y-g(x))\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in K(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that for appropriate choices of mappings $g, N, T, A, S, K$, and the space $E$, one can obtain many known classes of variational inequalities from GSIQVIP (3.1), see for example $[1,6,8,10-13]$.
We need the following concepts and results which are needed in the sequel.
Definition 3.1: Let $T, A, S: E \rightarrow C B(E)$. A mapping $N: E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$ is said to be:
(i) $\alpha$-strongly accretive with respect to $T, A$ and $S$ if there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \geq \alpha\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in E, u_{1} \in T\left(x_{1}\right), v_{1} \in A\left(x_{1}\right), w_{1} \in S\left(x_{1}\right), u_{2} \in T\left(x_{2}\right), v_{2} \in A\left(x_{2}\right), w_{2} \in S\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $(\beta, \gamma, \xi)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous if there exist constants $\beta, \gamma, \xi>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|N\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)-N\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \beta\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\gamma\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|+\xi\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\| \\
& \forall x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2} \in E
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.1 : The concept of $\alpha$-strongly accretiveness with respect to $T A$ and $S$ and $(\beta, \gamma, \xi)$-mixed Lipschitz continuity of mapping $N(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ are more general than the concepts used in $[1,6,8,10-13]$. If $T$ is $\mu$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous then $\alpha \leq \beta \mu$.
Assumption 3.1 : For all $x, y, z \in E$, the retraction mapping $R_{K(x)}$ from $E \rightarrow K(x)$ satisfies the condition:

$$
\left\|R_{K(x)}(z)-R_{K(y)}(z)\right\| \leq \nu\|x-y\|, \quad \nu>0 \text { is a constant. }
$$

## 4. Main Results

The following lemma, which will be used in the sequel, is an equivalence between the solutions of GSIQVIP (3.1) and a fixed point problem.
Lemma 4.1: GSIQVIP (3.1) has a solution $(x, u, v, w)$ with $x \in E, u \in T(x), v \in A(x)$, $w \in S(x), g(x) \in K(x)$ if and only if the set-valued mapping $F: E \rightarrow 2^{E}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\bigcup_{u \in T(x)} \bigcup_{v \in A(x)} \bigcup_{w \in S(x)}\left\{x-g(x)+R_{K(x)}[(1-\rho) g(x)-\rho N(u, v, w)]\right\}, x \in E \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a fixed point $x \in E$, where $\rho>0$ is a constant.
Proof: $(x, u, v, w)$ with $x \in E, u \in T(x), v \in A(x), w \in S(x), g(x) \in K(x)$ is a solution of GSIQVIP (3.1) if and only if ( $x, u, v, w$ ) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle g(x)+N(u, v, w), J(y-g(x))\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K(x) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \langle g(x)-[(1-\rho) g(x)-\rho N(u, v, w)], J(y-g(x))\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K(x), \quad \rho>0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & g(x)=R_{K(x)}[(1-\rho) g(x)-\rho N(u, v, w)], \quad(\text { By Theorem 2.2) } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & x=x-g(x)+R_{K(x)}[(1-\rho) g(x)-\rho N(u, v, w)] \\
\Longleftrightarrow & x \in \bigcup_{u \in T(x)} \bigcup_{v \in A(x)} \bigcup_{w \in S(x)}\left[x-g(x)+R_{K(x)}[(1-\rho) g(x)-\rho N(u, v, w)]\right] \\
= & F(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using Lemma 4.1, we prove the following existence theorem for GSIQVIP (3.1).
Theorem 4.1: Let $E$ be a real uniformly smooth Banach space with $\rho_{E}(t) \leq c t^{2}$ for some $c>0$; let the mapping $g$ be $\sigma$-strongly accretive and $\delta$-Lipschitz continuous; let the mappings $T, A, S: E \rightarrow C B(E)$ be $\mu$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous, $\eta$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous and $\lambda$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous, respectively; let the mapping $N$ be $\alpha$-strongly accretive with respect to $T, A$ and $S$ and $(\beta, \gamma, \xi)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous. If Assumption 3.1 holds and there exists a constant $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\rho-\frac{\alpha-(1-k) \delta}{64 c \pi^{2}-\delta^{2}}\right|<\frac{\sqrt{(\alpha-(1-k) \delta)^{2}-k(2-k)\left(64 c \pi^{2}-\delta^{2}\right)}}{64 c \pi^{2}-\delta^{2}}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \quad \alpha>(1-k) \delta+\sqrt{k(2-k)\left(64 c \pi^{2}-\delta^{2}\right)} ; \quad \rho \delta<1-k ;  \tag{4.3}\\
& k:=\nu+2 \sqrt{1-2 \sigma+64 c \delta^{2}} ; \quad 8 \sqrt{c} \pi>\delta ; \quad \pi:=(1+\epsilon)(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda) . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then GSIQVIP (3.1) has a solution $(x, u, v, w)$ with $x \in E, u \in T(x), v \in A(x)$, $w \in S(x), g(x) \in K(x)$.
Proof: For applying Lemma 4.1, we need to show that function $F$ defined by (4.1) has a fixed point. Thus, for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in E, p \in F\left(x_{1}\right), q \in F\left(x_{2}\right)$, there exist $u_{1} \in T\left(x_{1}\right)$, $v_{1} \in A\left(x_{1}\right), w_{1} \in S\left(x_{1}\right), u_{2} \in T\left(x_{2}\right), v_{2} \in A\left(x_{2}\right), w_{2} \in S\left(x_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
p=x_{1}-g\left(x_{1}\right)+R_{K\left(x_{1}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
q=x_{2}-g\left(x_{2}\right)+R_{K\left(x_{2}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{2}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

By using Assumption 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|p-q\| \leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\|+\| R_{K\left(x_{1}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right] \\
& -R_{K\left(x_{2}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{2}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right] \| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\|+\| R_{K\left(x_{1}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \quad-R_{K\left(x_{2}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right]\|+\| R_{K\left(x_{2}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right] \\
& -R_{K\left(x_{2}\right)}\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{2}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right] \| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\|+\nu\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\|\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right] \\
& -\left[(1-\rho) g\left(x_{2}\right)-\rho N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right] \| \\
\leq & 2\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\|+\nu\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\rho\left\|g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-\rho\left[N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right]\right\| . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g$ is $\sigma$-strongly accretive and $\delta$-Lipschitz continuous, using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\| x_{1}-x_{2}- & \left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left\|^{2} \leq\right\| x_{1}-x_{2} \|^{2}-2\left\langle g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad-2\left\langle g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-\left(g\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)-J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2}-2 \sigma\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+64 c \delta^{2}\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-2 \sigma+64 c \delta^{2}\right)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, $N$ is $(\beta, \gamma, \xi)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous and $T, A, S$ are $\mu$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous, $\eta$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous and $\lambda$ - $\mathcal{H}$-Lipschitz continuous, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \beta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|+\gamma\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|+\xi\left\|w_{1}-w_{2}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq(1+\epsilon)\left(\beta \mathcal{H}\left(T\left(x_{1}\right), T\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+\gamma \mathcal{H}\left(A\left(x_{1}\right), A\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+\xi \mathcal{H}\left(S\left(x_{1}\right), S\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq(1+\epsilon)(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, $N$ is $\alpha$-strongly accretive with respect to $T, A$ and $S$, then by using Lemma 2.1 and (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-\rho\left[N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right]\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2}-2 \rho\left\langle N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-\rho\left[N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right]\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2}-2 \rho\left\langle N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad-2 \rho\left\langle N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w-2\right), J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-\rho\left[N\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)-N\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right]-J\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
\leq & {\left[1-2 \rho \alpha+64 c \rho^{2}(1+\epsilon)^{2}(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda)^{2}\right]\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2} . } \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D\left(F\left(x_{1}\right), F\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \leq & {\left[2 \sqrt{1-2 \sigma+64 c \delta^{2}}+\nu+\rho \delta\right.} \\
& \left.+\sqrt{1-2 \rho \alpha+64 c \rho^{2}(1+\epsilon)^{2}(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda)^{2}}\right]\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| \\
\leq & \psi\left(\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi(t)=\theta t, \theta=k+\rho \delta+l(\rho) ; \quad t=\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| ; \quad k=\nu+2 \sqrt{1-2 \sigma+64 c \delta^{2}}$ and $l(\rho)=\sqrt{1-2 \rho \alpha+64 c \rho^{2}(1+\epsilon)^{2}(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda)^{2}}$.
Now, we show that $\theta<1$. It is clear that $l(\rho)$ assumes its minimum value for $\bar{\rho}=$ $\frac{\alpha}{64 c(1+\epsilon)^{2}(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda)^{2}}$ with $l(\bar{\rho})=\sqrt{1-\frac{\alpha}{64 c(1+\epsilon)^{2}(\beta \mu+\gamma \eta+\xi \lambda)^{2}}}$. For $\rho=\bar{\rho}$, $k+\rho \delta+l(\rho)<1$ implies $\rho \delta<1-k$. Thus, it follows that $\theta<1$ for all $\rho$ satisfying (4.2)-(4.4). Since Banach space is a metrically convex metric space and $\theta<1$, then $\psi(t)<t$ for each $t \in[0, \infty)$, and hence by Theorem $2.4, F$ has a fixed point $x \in E$. This completes the proof with Lemma 4.1.
Remark 4.1 : It is clear that $\alpha \leq \beta \mu ; \sigma \leq \delta$. Further, $\theta<1$ and conditions (4.2)-(4.4) of Theorem 4.1 hold for some suitable values of constants, for example $(\alpha=3, \beta=4, \gamma=\xi=1, \mu=\eta=\lambda=\delta=1, \sigma=0.5, \epsilon=\nu=\rho=0.1)$.
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